# Envy-free division of a cake with groups, and other extensions

Frédéric Meunier

École des Ponts

October 23rd, 2023

SPOC 26

Joint work with Ayumi Igarashi

# Dividing a cake



### Theorem (Folklore)

To divide a cake between two people in an envy-free manner, let one person cut the cake and let the other choose. 1 Standard setting

**2** Group extension

**3** Two-dimensional topology



### **5** Extensions

# Plan

### 1 Standard setting

- **2** Group extension
- **3** Two-dimensional topology
- 4 Algorithm
- **5** Extensions

# STANDARD SETTING

# Envy-free cake division

A cake has to be shared between people.

It will be divided into as many pieces as there are people.

Each person will be assigned a piece.

Envy-free division: each person prefers his piece.

# Envy-free cake division

A cake has to be shared between people.

It will be divided into as many pieces as there are people.

Each person will be assigned a piece.

Envy-free division: each person is at least as happy with his piece than with any other piece.

### Model

 $\clubsuit$  Division of the cake: partition  ${\cal I}$  of [0,1] into nonempty intervals (the pieces)

Player j has a choice function:

 $c_j \colon \{ \text{divisions} \} \to 2^{\{ \text{pieces} \}}$  .

Given a division  $\mathcal{I}$ , player j is happy with the pieces  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $I \in c_j(\mathcal{I})$ .

♣ Given a division  $\mathcal{I}$ , an envy-free assignment is  $\pi: \{ players \} \longrightarrow \{ pieces \}$ 

- $\star$   $\pi$  is bijective.
- \*  $\pi(j) \in c_j(\mathcal{I})$  for every player *j*.

# Existence of envy-free divisions

Choice function c<sub>j</sub> is closed if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{I}^k = \mathcal{I} \ \text{ and } \ I^k \in c_j(\mathcal{I}^k) \ \forall k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I^\infty \in c_j(\mathcal{I})$$

Choice function c<sub>j</sub> is hungry if

$$I \in c_j(\mathcal{I}) \implies \lambda(I) \neq 0$$

Theorem Stromquist, Woodall 1980

No matter how many players there are, when all choice functions are closed and hungry, there is always an envy-free division.

# Algorithmic consideration

### Theorem Deng–Qi–Saberi 2012

For every fixed number  $k \ge 3$  of players with hungry choice functions, computing an (approximate) envy-free division is PPAD-complete.

#### Theorem Deng–Qi–Saberi 2012

Suppose there are 3 players and the choice function are hungry and monotone. Then computing an (approximate) envy-free division can be done in  $O(\log^2 1/\varepsilon)$ .

Monotonicity: Consider a division  $\mathcal{I}$ , a player j, and a piece  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $I \in c_j(\mathcal{I})$ . For any new division  $\mathcal{I}'$  with  $I' \supseteq I$  and  $K' \subseteq K$  for all other pieces  $K \neq I$ , we have  $I' \in c_j(\mathcal{I}')$ .

# Plan

### 1 Standard setting

### **2** Group extension

**3** Two-dimensional topology

#### 4 Algorithm

### **5** Extensions

## GROUP EXTENSION

# Cake division among groups

### **Theorem** Segal-Halevi–Suksompong 2021

Consider an instance with *n* players. Let  $k_1, \ldots, k_q$  be nonnegative integers summing up to *n*. When all choice functions are closed and hungry, there exist a division into *q* pieces and a partition of the players into *q* groups of size  $k_1, \ldots, k_q$  with an envy-free assignment of the pieces to the *q* groups.

# Motivation

#### Public basketball court

- 4 30 players want to play on some day
- Cake = the day

 $\clubsuit$  Players have different preferences regarding the time at which they prefer to play

With the theorem:

It is possible to partition the players into 3 groups of 10 players each, and divide the day into 3 contiguous intervals—one interval per group—so that each group of 10 is happy to play in its designated time slot.



# Algorithms

Counterpart to groups of the polynomial result of Deng, Qi, and Saberi (2012).

Theorem Igarashi–M. 2023+

Suppose there are *n* players and the choice function are hungry and monotone. Then computing 3 groups and an (approximate) envy-free division can be done in  $O(n \log^2 1/\varepsilon)$ .

# Plan

- 1 Standard setting
- **2** Group extension
- **3** Two-dimensional topology
- 4 Algorithm
- **5** Extensions

### TWO-DIMENSIONAL TOPOLOGY

# Configuration space



# Measuring the popularity



### In maths

For cuts located at x and y

$$f_i(x, y) \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \times (\# \text{players prefering } i)$$

$$f_1(x,y) + f_2(x,y) + f_3(x,y) = 1$$
.

With:

• 
$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$$
  
•  $\Box = \{(x, y) : x, y \in [0, 1]\}$   
•  $\triangle = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}_+ : z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 1\}$ 

$$f: \Box \to \triangle$$













# Finishing the proof

#### Lemma

The map *f* is surjective.

Let  $\omega = (k_1/n, k_2/n, k_3/n)$ .

In particular, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in \Box$  such that  $f(x^*, y^*) = \omega$ .

#### This means:

 $(x^*, y^*)$  = division into 3 pieces for which it exists a partition of the players into 3 groups of size  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  with an envy-free assignment.

# Plan

- 1 Standard setting
- **2** Group extension
- **3** Two-dimensional topology
- 4 Algorithm
- **5** Extensions

## Algorithms





# Computing the intersection

Lemma "Horizontal-monotonicity"

If  $x \leq x'$ , then  $f_1(x, y) \leq f_1(x', y)$ .

Up to a polynomially computable perturbation, intersection well-defined  $% \left( {{{\left[ {{{{\bf{n}}_{{\rm{s}}}}} \right]}_{{\rm{s}}}}} \right)$ 

⇒ binary search computing  $f(\{(x, y) : x \in [0, 1]\}) \cap \Omega$  for any fixed  $y \in [0, 1]$  in  $O(n \log 1/\varepsilon)$ 

# Strip containing $\omega$

- $\implies$  second binary search computing  $y^L$  and  $y^R$  such that
  - $|y^R y^L| = \varepsilon$
  - $f(\{(x, y^L): x \in [0, 1]\}) \cap \Omega$  is on the left of  $\omega$
  - $f(\{(x, y^R) : x \in [0, 1]\}) \cap \Omega$  is on the right of  $\omega$

 $Complexity = O(n \log^2 \varepsilon)$ 



# Locating $\omega$

Last binary search: identify a small  $(1/\varepsilon \times 1/\varepsilon)$ -square "whose image by f" contains  $\omega$ .

This is an "approximate" envy-free division.

Complexity still  $O(n \log^2 \varepsilon)$ 



### Affine extension and approximate division

Actually, it is not really the image by f.

♣ We have a small square with vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  such that  $\omega \in \operatorname{conv}(f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), f(v_4)).$ 

 $\clubsuit$  In other words, there exist nonnegative  $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4$  with  $\sum_{\ell}\alpha_{\ell}=1$  s.t.

$$\sum_{\ell} \alpha_{\ell} f_i(\mathbf{v}_{\ell}) = \frac{k_i}{n}$$

# Finishing the proof

Let  $w_{ji} \coloneqq \sum_{\ell} \alpha_{\ell} \mathbf{1}$  (player *j* prefers piece *i* at  $v_{\ell}$ ). Then:

$$w_{j1} + w_{j2} + w_{j3} = 1 \quad \forall j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ji} = k_i \quad \forall i.$$

#### Bipartite graph H

players



Edges *ji* correspond to  $w_{ji} > 0$ . We want  $F \subseteq E(H)$  such that

•  $\deg_F(j) = 1$  for all j

• 
$$\deg_F(i) = k_i$$
 for all  $i$ 

total unimodularity of

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} \colon \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \delta_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}}(j)} x_{\boldsymbol{e}} = 1 \, \forall j \in [\boldsymbol{n}], \quad \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \delta_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}}(i)} x_{\boldsymbol{e}} = k_{i} \, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \right\} \quad \mathsf{QED}$$

# Plan

- 1 Standard setting
- **2** Group extension
- **3** Two-dimensional topology
- 4 Algorithm



# FURTHER EXTENSIONS

# Birthday and poison

Birthday player: classical extension of cake-cutting, does not share his preferences



Non-hungry player: recent extension, might prefer a piece of length 0



# Birthday and poison

#### Theorem Woodall 1980

Consider an instance with *n* players, one of them being a "birthday" player. There exists a division into *n* pieces such that, no matter which piece is chosen by the "birthday" player, there is an envy-free assignment of the remaining pieces to the n - 1players.

#### Theorem Avvakumov–Karasev 2020

Consider an instance with n players, with closed choice functions. If n is a prime power, then there exists an envy-free division.

# Birthday, bad cake, groups

### Theorem Igarashi–M. 2023

Consider an instance of a cake with *n* players, one of them being a "birthday" player, with closed choice functions. Let *q* be an integer such that  $q \leq n$ . If *q* is a prime power, then there exists a division into *q* pieces so that no matter which piece is chosen by the "birthday" player, there is an envy-free assignment of the remaining pieces with each piece assigned to the same number of players (up to one player).

Here, an envy-free assignment is  $\pi$ : {players}  $\longrightarrow$  {pieces}

★ 
$$|\pi^{-1}(\text{piece } I)| \in \{\lfloor n/q \rfloor, \lceil n/q \rceil\}$$
 for every piece *I*.

\* 
$$\pi(j) \in c_j(\mathcal{I})$$
 for every player *j*.

### Main tool



Chessboard complex  $\triangle_{2n-1,n}$ 

#### Theorem Volovikov 1980

Let p be a prime number and  $G = ((\mathbb{Z}_p)^k, +)$ . Consider a *G*-invariant triangulation of  $\Delta_{2n-1,n}$ , whose vertices are *G*equivariently labeled with elements of *G*. Then there is a fully labeled simplex. Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q$  be nonnegative real numbers summing up to n-1, and H = ([n-1], [q]; E) a bipartite graph with nonnegative edge weights  $w_e$ . If

$$\sum_{e \in \delta_{H}(j)} w_{e} = 1 \ \forall j \in [n-1] \text{ and } \sum_{e \in \delta_{H}(i)} w_{e} = a_{i} \ \forall i \in [q],$$

then for every  $i^*$ , there is an assignment  $\pi \colon [n-1] \to [q]$  s.t.

- for each  $j \in [n-1]$ , the vertex  $\pi(i)$  is a neighbor of i in H,
- for each  $i \in [q] \setminus \{i^*\}$ , we have  $|\pi^{-1}(i)| \in \{\lfloor a_i \rfloor, \lceil a_i \rceil\}$ ,

• 
$$|\pi^{-1}(i^*)| = \lfloor a_{i^*} \rfloor.$$

Proof. polytope

Lemma

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \colon \sum_{e \in \delta_{\mathcal{H}}(j)} x_e = 1 \, \forall j \in [n-1] \text{ and } \lfloor a_i \rfloor \leqslant \sum_{e \in \delta_{\mathcal{H}}(i)} x_e \leqslant \lceil a_i \rceil \, \forall i \in [q] \right\}$$

total unimodularity, carefully chosen extreme point of the polytope

,

### THANK YOU